

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington; D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,830		12/30/1999	RICHARD NORRIS DODGE II	11710-0111	6932
23594	7590	05/22/2002			
JOHN S. P		WTON LED	EXAMINER		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1100 PEACHTREE				PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C	
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA		09		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	9
				DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>f</b>	_	ME
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/475,830	DODGE II ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher C. Pratt	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	-	1
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliction of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	February 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal mater Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24-30</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∐ approved b)∐ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	ts have been received in Ap	plication No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has be	en received.
Attachment(s)	p	,
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks filed 2/28/02 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's amendments are not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims 24-30 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

In light of applicant's amendment, claims 16-18 are rejoined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-23, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, as set forth in the last action.

Applicant argues that the use of functional language is not objectionable in and of itself. The examiner agrees with this argument; however, the instant claims previously **only** recited the function of a superabsorbent material. Applicant argues that functional

Application/Control Number: 09/475,830

Art Unit: 1771

language is necessary to define the invention, which is, "in this case, a composition."

The claims, however, are drawn to an absorbent structure, not a composition. The claims are indefinite because they do not even attempt to define said structure.

The claims now state that the absorbent structure contains fibers. The claims are still indefinite because the presence of fibers does not further define a structure. How are the fibers arranged, woven, nonwoven, etc.? Do the fibers interact with the superabsorbent material or are they a separate and distinct portion of the absorbent structure?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-15, 19-23, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldman (6380456 and 5669894), Chen et al (6261679), Beihoffer et al (6235965), and Mukaida et al (5676660).

These references are all concerned with the creation of an absorbent structure comprising sodium polyacrylate and fibers, which are utilized in applicant's claimed percentages. Applicant's claimed properties may be inherent in said references because the same materials are used. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to increase the permeability of the material.

Art Unit: 1771

ż

Such a modification would have been motivated by the desire to increase the amount of fluid, which can enter the superabsorbent material, thereby optimizing its absorbent capabilities.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt May 16, 2002

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700